

1 LOUIS R. MILLER (State Bar No. 54141)  
smiller@millerbarondess.com  
2 JASON H. TOKORO (State Bar No. 252345)  
jtokoro@millerbarondess.com  
3 STEVEN G. WILLIAMSON (State Bar No. 343842)  
swilliamson@millerbarondess.com  
4 MILLER BARONDESS, LLP  
2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2600  
5 Los Angeles, California 90067  
Tel.: (310) 552-4400 | Fax: (310) 552-8400

6 Attorneys for Defendants  
7

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION**

10  
11 ALEX VILLANUEVA,  
12 Plaintiff,  
13 v.  
14 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,  
15 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  
16 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, LOS  
17 ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF  
SUPERVISORS, COUNTY EQUITY  
OVERSIGHT PANEL, LOS  
ANGELES COUNTY OFFICE OF  
INSPECTOR GENERAL,  
CONSTANCE KOMOROSKI,  
MERCEDES CRUZ, ROBERTA  
YANG, LAURA LECRIVAIN,  
SERGIO V. ESCOBEDO, RON  
KOPPERUD, ROBERT G. LUNA,  
MAX-GUSTAF HUNTSMAN,  
ESTHER LIM, and DOES 1 to 100,  
inclusive,  
22 Defendants.  
23

**CASE NO. 2:24-cv-04979 SVW (JCx)**

**DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF  
MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 4 TO  
EXCLUDE VIVIAN VILLANUEVA  
FROM TESTIFYING AT TRIAL**

*[Filed Concurrently with Memorandum  
of Points of Points and Authorities;  
Declaration of Jason H. Tokoro; and  
[Proposed] Order]*

Date: May 26, 2025  
Time: 1:30 p.m.  
Crtrm.: 10A

Assigned to the Hon. Stephen V.  
Wilson and Magistrate Judge Jacqueline  
Chooljian

Trial Date: June 3, 2025

24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
731968.1

1 **TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL**  
2 **OF RECORD:**

3 **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that on May 26, 2025, at 1:30 p.m. or as soon  
4 thereafter as the matter may be heard in Courtroom 10A of the above-captioned  
5 Court, located at First Street Courthouse, 350 W. 1st Street, Los Angeles, California  
6 90012, Defendants will and hereby do respectfully move this Court for an order  
7 granting Defendants' Motion *in Limine* No. 4 to bar Plaintiff and his counsel from  
8 attempting to elicit testimony from Vivian Villanueva at trial (the "Motion").

9 Plaintiff is seeking more than \$25 million in emotional distress damages.  
10 Mrs. Villanueva was identified as a witness who could speak to Plaintiff's emotional  
11 distress. At his deposition, Plaintiff identified her as the person he "often" talks to  
12 about his feelings (Declaration of Jason H. Tokoro ("Tokoro Decl."), Ex. 17. at  
13 359:5–7), and that she knows him "better than anyone" and that he confides his  
14 emotions in her in a way he does not do so with anyone else (*id.* at 359:8–18).

15 At her deposition, Mrs. Villanueva invoked the spousal privilege and refused  
16 to answer questions that pertained to Plaintiff's emotional distress, including what  
17 he has told her about his feelings. (*Id.* Ex. 27 at 158:2–8, 159:19–160:3.) She and  
18 Plaintiff have also prevented Defendants from seeing hundreds of text messages  
19 between the two, which is admissible evidence of concurrent stressors or the lack  
20 thereof. *Elkins v. Automatic Data Processing, Inc.*, No. EDCV 21-606 JGB KKX),  
21 2023 WL 7354621, at \*5 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 19, 2023) (citation omitted). Mrs.  
22 Villanueva's testimony has been put at issue in this lawsuit, and she cannot use  
23 privilege as a sword and a shield.

24 Separately, Mrs. Villanueva testified that she should be "part" of this lawsuit  
25 herself as a party. (Tokoro Decl., Ex. 27 at 108:14–15.) And despite refusing to  
26 provide testimony regarding emotional distress, she also testified that Plaintiff's  
27 claim for \$25 million in emotional distress was "not enough." (*Id.* at 125:18–  
28 126:2.) Such statements should be excluded as they are prejudicial and will

confused the jury as to the nature of Plaintiff's damages.

The grounds for the Motion are that the statements should be excluded under Federal Rules of Evidence 402 and 403.

The Motion is based upon this notice of motion; the concurrently filed Memorandum of Points and Authorities; the Declaration of Jason H. Tokoro; the pleadings and records on file in this action; and any further evidence or argument received by the Court in connection with the Motion.

## **Local Rule 7-3 Compliance**

This Motion is made following correspondence from Defendants' counsel following the deposition of Mrs. Villanueva. Defendants' counsel requested a conference to meet and confer, but Plaintiff did not respond. (*See* Tokoro Decl. ¶¶ 4–5 & Ex 2.) The parties were therefore unable to reach agreement as to Defendants' Motion *in Limine* No. 4. (*See id.* ¶ 3.)

## MILLER BARONDESS, LLP

By: /s/ *Jason H. Tokoro*

JASON H. TOKORO  
Attorneys for Defendants